Forward Head Correction Exercises For Management Of Myogenic Tempromandibular Joint Dysfunction
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Abstract: Objectives: To investigate the effect of independent forward head postural exercise on myogenic tempromandibular joint dysfunction. Methods: The study included fifteen females, diagnosed as myogenic TMJ dysfunction with limited mouth opening aged between 20-40 years (27.1± 4.6 years). TMJ pain was persistent for at least six months (12.3±5.3) and patients were observed to have a forward head posture. The measurements included vertical mouth opening measured in millimeters by Standard ruler, pain intensity using visual analogue scale and craniofacial posture on lateral cephalometric. Each patient received exercise program consisting of 1-strengthening exercise of deep cervical flexors and scapular retractors 2-stretching exercise of the suboccipital muscles and pectoralis muscles. Results: The result showed significant decrease in craniofacial angle and lower cervical curvature. Also there was a significant increase in vertical active mouth opening (P=0.000) and decreased pain level of masticatory system (P=0.000). The correlation between mouth opening and the craniofacial angle was found to be significant. No significant correlation was found between upper and lower cervical curvature. Independent forward head correction exercise program was found to be effective in improving myogenic TMJD and support the relation between forward head posture and TMJD.


1. Introduction:

Tempromandibular disorders can be subdivided into muscular and articular categories. Myogenic disorders include myalgia (myofascial pain, fibromyalgia), myosplasm, splinting, and fibrosis/contracture. Tenderness to palpation of muscle, limitation in mandibular range of motion, perceived alteration in the dental occlusion, and changes in mood are conceptualized as consequences of myogenic pain. It has been reported that approximately 50% of all TMDs are masticatory myalgias or painful masticatory muscle disorders. Within Myogenic Disorders myofascial pain (MFP) and myofacial pain dysfunction syndrome (MPD) are encountered frequently. Patients suffering from MFP will have tenderness to palpation of two or more muscle sites. Myalgias involving the muscles of mastication predominate MFP escalates to (MPD) when there is concomitant limitation of jaw opening. Many researchers have examined the role of estrogen in the etiology of masticatory myalgias, the fact that the condition is more severe in women than men, and that it occurs more frequently in women of reproductive age. There is a significant relationship between forward head posture and tempromandibular disorders. The cervical muscle activity influences the masticatory muscle activity. Forward head posture produces a greater muscle activity in the temporal and masseter muscles. The muscle activity resulting from craniofacial extension of the head produces an elevation and retrusion force that act on the mandible which results in decrease in free
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way space of TMJ \[13\]. Some studies have investigated the relationship between the masticatory muscles and head posture using electromyographic (EMG) analysis \[14\]. There is higher resting activities of sternocleidomastoid and trapezius muscles of myogenous TMD patient\[15\]. The functional link between the masticatory and cervical muscle is probably through a co-activation mechanism \[16,17\]. Most cervical muscle myofascial trigger point (MTrPs) have referred pain pattern into the head and face region \[18\]. Carlson \[19\] demonstrated the clinical significance of referred pain by eliminating pain of the masseter muscle by treating MTrPs in the trapezius muscle.

Two studies examined the effect of postural training in combination with other therapies on myogenic TMDs \[20,21\]. As independent effects of postural training on myogenic TMDs are unknown\[22\]. So, the purpose of this study was to investigate the forward head posture correction in the management of myogenic temporomandibular joint dysfunction and in changing of craniocervical posture.

**Patients and Methods**

The study was conducted in the Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University. Fifteen subjects were selected consequently and agreed to participate in this study, they were all females, they met all of the following criteria 1) Patients diagnosed as myogenic TMD with limited mouth opening. 2) Age range between 20-40 years (27.1± 4.6). 3) Persistent temporomandibular joint pain for at least six months. 4) Patients were observed to have a forward head posture. Subjects exhibiting the following conditions were excluded from the study. 1) Temporomandibular joint dysfunction includes disc displacement, arthritis or arthralgia. 2) Recent macro trauma history in the head or cervical area. 3) History of previous posture correction treatment. 4) Recent surgery in head or neck. 5) Patients currently receiving medication or other treatment. 6) Patients with upper respiratory or major psychological problems.

**Measurements**

Patients were evaluated for pain intensity, range of motion (ROM) of active mouth opening, and craniocervical posture before and after the treatment. Visual analogue scale was used to measure pain. The scale is straight undivided line 10 cm in length, the ends of which is marked by statement indicating the extreme limits of pain sensation to be measured, that is "No pain" at the far left end, and "Extreme pain" at far right end (Subjects was asked to record the intensity of their pain complain on a visual analogue scale (VAS). Pain score will be obtained by measuring the distance in millimeters from the far left end of VAS). Vertical mouth opening was measured in millimeters by Standard ruler, each patient was asked to open her mouth as wide as possible without feeling any strain and without causing pain and discomfort. The interciscal distance was measured by placing one end of the ruler against the incisal edge of one of the upper central incisors, and the other end against the incisal end of the opposing lower incisor, then the opening range will be recorded in millimeters.

X-rays were taken by the operator with the patient's mid-sagittal plane parallel to the X-ray film plane, feet together in an orthostatic posture. The patient was instructed to look towards the horizon \[23\]. It is important that the operator does not grab or push the head or neck with the hands since this will change the cranio-cervical angle. Four lines were traced on lateral cephalometric. The relationship between the head and the cervical spine (cranio-cervical angle) is expressed as the angle between the line from the posterior nasal spine to lower surface point of the occipital bone (McGregor plane) and line tangent to the odontoid apophysis posterior surface. The lower cervical curvature is measured and expressed by the angle between the line extended from the posterior margin of the third cervical vertebra and up from the posterior margin of the six cervical vertebral body as shown in fig 1a as the seventh cervical vertebra is not well clear in some x rays), hence the lower cervical curvature is measured from C3- C6 instead C4- C7. The measurements were taken on x rays using a protractor.

**Exercise therapy**

A six week program was conducted. Each patient received three sessions per week day after day. The program included two categories of exercises. In each session the exercises were practiced and any error observed was corrected. The program was based on a program by Harman \[6\]. These exercises were:

**Strengthening exercises**

Strengthening exercise was preceded by kinesthetic training. The goal of kinesthetic training was to develop proprioceptive awareness of posture, positioning and safe movement. Reinforcement technique was used in kinesthetic training such as verbal reinforcement and tactile reinforcement\[24\].
Strengthening of deep cervical flexor muscles
Each patient was instructed to sit with her arms relaxed at the side. The area above the lip and under the nose was lightly touched and the patient was asked to tuck her head down and in. The correct movement of tucking the chin in and straightening the spine was verbally reinforced. From sitting position the patient then was asked to tuck her chin so that her ears were in line with the tip of her shoulders. The exercise was performed for three sets of 12 repetitions with holding of six seconds.

Strengthening of the scapular retractor muscles
The patient sat on a chair without back support, tactile and proprioceptive training is preceded. The movement of the inferior angle of the scapula was gently resisted and the patient was asked to pinch them together "retraction". The patient was asked to imagine "holding a quarter between both the shoulder blades". Each patient was instructed not to extend the shoulders or elevate the scapulae. The patient then stood with her hands grasped together behind the lower back (this activity cause scapular adduction). She was instructed to adduct scapula and hold the adducted position with both arms lowered downwards for six seconds. This exercise was performed for three sets with 12 repetitions with holding 6 seconds.

Stretching exercises
Stretching of suboccipital muscles.
The exercise was performed from sitting position. The spinous process of the second cervical vertebra was identified, and stabilized by the therapist's thumb. The patient was asked to slowly nod, doing just a tipping motion of the head on the upper spine. The exercise was done three times with holding 30second each time.

Stretching of the Pectoralis major muscle
This exercise was done from sitting with hands behind the head, shoulders abducted and externally rotated 90 degree. Passive stretch was applied by the therapist at the end of range. This exercise was done three times with holding 30 seconds.

Statistical analysis
Paired T test and correlation coefficient were used to judge statistical significant difference. The level of significance used was p<0.05. All data were analyzed using SPSS program version 12.0
1- Paired T test for craniocervical angles, mouth opening and visual analogue scale of pain.
2- Correlation between the change of upper and lower cervical angles.
3- Correlation between the change of craniocervical angles, mouth opening, intensity of pain.

Results
A total of 15 female patients participated in this study. The mean value of “age” was 27.1 ±4.6 years. They received an exercise program for correcting forward head posture. The mean value of duration of temporomandibular dysfunction in the sample selected was (12.3± 5.3).
There was a significant reduction in craniocervical angle, lower curvature of cervical spine (c3-c6), and pain. There was also a significant increase in mouth opening in Table 1. It was noticed that all cases show reduction in cervical curvature post treatment except one case show increase in cervical curvature as shown in Figs. 1a,b. There was a significant correlation between the change in craniocervical angle and change in range of motion equal to -.519, P value = .047. There was a correlation between range of motion and lower cervical curvature but not significant . A significant correlation was found between the change of VAS of pain and only the lower cervical curvature equal to -.596. The change of VAS of pain is well correlated with change in range of motion, the correlation equal to-.704 Table 2. No significant correlation was found between craniocervical angle and lower cervical curvature before treatment. The correlation improved post treatment but still not significant Table 3.

Table 1: Comparison between pre and pos treatment variables( postural angles, mouth opening and VAS of pain.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pre-Treatment</th>
<th>Post-treatment</th>
<th>Mean difference</th>
<th>T value</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Craniocervical angle</td>
<td>99± 8.</td>
<td>87.9±7.3</td>
<td>11. ±6.5</td>
<td>6.56</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower cervical curvature</td>
<td>18±10.3</td>
<td>7.4±10.7</td>
<td>10.6±10.4</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouth opening</td>
<td>24.9±2.2</td>
<td>41.2±2.7</td>
<td>-16.2±3.2</td>
<td>-19.717</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAS* of pain</td>
<td>7.4± .75</td>
<td>2.3±1.5</td>
<td>5.14±1.5</td>
<td>13.251</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Visual analogue scale.
Table 2: Correlation between difference of pre and post treatment for the postural angles, range of motion and VAS of pain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Correlation</th>
<th>Significance(2tailed)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Craniocervical angle &amp; Range of motion</td>
<td>-.519*</td>
<td>.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervical curvature &amp; Range of motion</td>
<td>.479</td>
<td>.071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAS Pain &amp; Range of motion</td>
<td>-.704**</td>
<td>.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAS of pain &amp; Craniocervical angle</td>
<td>.401</td>
<td>.139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Cervical curvature &amp; VAS of pain</td>
<td>-.596*</td>
<td>.019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed)   ** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed)

Table 3: Correlation between upper and lower curvature pre and post treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Craniocervical angle &amp; lower cervical</th>
<th>Correlation</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-treatment</td>
<td>.127</td>
<td>.652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post treatment</td>
<td>-.442</td>
<td>.099</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Pretreatment   (b) Post treatment

Fig. 1. lateral X-ray measurement for craniocervical angle (a) and cervical curvature angle (b).

* PNS: Posterior nasal line
4. Discussion

The current study investigated the independent effect of forward head postural correction in the management of myogenic temporomandibular joint disorder. The program was not combined with other techniques as previously done by Komiyamama et al.[20]. The study gives details about posture correction on mechanical basis which is not found in other study.[21] The studies done by Komiyamama et al.[20] and Write[21] were considered weak studies according to JADA score because the treatment protocols were not described in adequate details to allow replication of the intervention used in other researches.[25]. On the other hand, in this study the patients complaining from myogenic TMJD received a program of six week postural correction. This program was based on a mechanical aspect that forward head posture is associated with weakness of deep cervical flexors (craniocervical flexor) and scapular retractors and shorting of suboccipital muscles, and Pectoralis muscles [6].

This study showed a significant decrease in two angles, the craniocervical posture angle and the angle of the lower cervical curvature. The exercise program in this study included strengthening of the deep flexors of the neck along with stretching of suboccipital muscles. Since the deep cervical flexors have a major postural role in supporting and straightening of the cervical spine [26] and normal balance of the head and neck unit requires balance of the anterior and posterior muscles [27]. Our finding disagrees with Luen[28] who suggest that posture should not be interpreted as an etiological factor predisposing TMJD.

Results of the current study agree with Harman et al.[6] who reported improvement in head posture by using program of posture correction similar to the program of this study. The results of this study disagree with Wright et al.[21] who did not find any improvement in head posture in patients with myogenic temporomandibular disorder receiving posture correction program. This can be explained by the fact that his study used a program of treatment that included neck retraction training and stretching of the anterior chest muscles in addition to strengthening of shoulder retractors without including stretching of the suboccipital muscles and the duration of the treatment was four weeks (performed as a home regime only and the therapist saw subjects once only during the treatment to correct errors). Also the subject’s age range for that study was too wide (ranged from 18 to 56 years). Other studies concerning posture correction for the treatment of myogenic TMJD didn’t measure head posture[20, 29].

There is a significant correlation between change in range of motion and changes in craniocervical angle which may support the relation between forward head posture and TMJD, but the change in VAS was significantly correlated with the lower cervical curvature. Improvement of pain and range of motion of mouth opening may also be due to the fact that exercise causes relaxation of the neck muscles thereby relaxing masticatory muscles and so decreasing pain and increasing range of motion.

A weak statistically significant negative correlation in asymptomatic volunteers females was observed between the measured angles of the upper and lower cervical spine [30], this may be due to the wide variation in the degree of cervical curvature from kyphosis to lordosis. Non lordosis or angular kyphosis have been reported to be often observed in the normal population [31]. In the current study the correlation between the upper and lower cervical spine improved post treatment but still not significant. A further study needed to investigate the relation between upper and lower cervical in myogenic TMJD disorders.

Proper alignment of the upper back is essential for proper alignment of the head and neck. Round upper back (thoracic kyphosis) which affect cervical posture is associated with weakness of thoracic spine extensor muscles [32]. Magee[33] reported that forward head posture and flexed upper back are characterized by weakness of the lower cervical and thoracic erector spinae. Falla[26] found that forward drift of the head was associated with an increase in the thoracic flexion curve in patients with neck pain, our program did not include strengthening of thoracic extensor muscles to improve round upper back posture if any. McDonnell [34] suggests that impairments, not only in the cervical region, but also in the scapulothoracic and lumbar regions, may be important to consider in the treatment of cervicogenic headache.

Conclusion

Correction exercise program of forward head posture is effective in improving head posture and range of motion of active mouth opening and reducing pain of the masticatory system. The data of this study support the relation of forward head posture and myogenic TMJD. It is recommended that impairments, not only in the cervical region, but also in the scapulothoracic and lumbar regions should be corrected thus indirectly decrease the prolonged loading into extension in the cervical region. A further investigation of the effect of postural correction on the relation between upper and lower cervical spine is recommended.
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